ANNUAL REPORT

FY2016

A Report to the Governor Regarding the Status of Mental Health Facilities and Treatment Programs Inspected by the Board from July 2015 through June 2016.

Mental Disabilities Board of Visitors

Contents

MENTAL DISABILITIES BOARD OF VISITORS	2
BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF	2
SITE INSPECTIONS 2013-2014	3
MONTANA DEVELOPMENTAL CENTER ADVOCACY	5
	_
BOV / MONTANA STATE HOSPITAL STATISTICS	8
OBSERVATIONS	g
RECOMMENDATIONS	10

MENTAL DISABILITIES BOARD OF VISITORS BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF

Daniel Laughlin Anaconda, Montana

Jim Hajny Livingston, Montana

Vacant Montana Montana

Melissa Ancell Poplar, Montana

Tracy Perez Ronan, Montana

Amy Tipton Edgar, Montana

Helena Office

P.O. Box 200804 Phone (406) 444-3955

Helena, MT 59620-0804 Toll-free (800) 332-2272 FAX (406) 444-3543

Daniel Ladd, Executive Director Phone: (406) 444-5278

E-mail: dladd@mt.gov

Lisa Swanson, Advocacy Specialist E-Mail: <u>lswanson@mt.gov</u>

Montana State Hospital

P.O. Box 177 Phone (406) 693-7035

Warm Springs, MT 59756-0177 FAX (406) 693-7036

Craig Fitch, Attorney E-mail: cfitch@mt.gov

LuWaana Johnson, Paralegal/Advocate E-mail: lujohnson@mt.gov

SITE INSPECTIONS FY 2016

Date of	Facility	Team Members			
Inspect ion					
October 2015	Northern Winds Recovery Center- Browning	Janette Reget. LCSW, LuWaana Johnson,			
	http://boardofvisitors.mt.gov/Portals/38/Documents/Northern%20Winds%	Paralegal			
	20Recovery%20Center%20Report.pdf?ver=2015-12-23-140659-673	-BOV Staff			
Nov. 2015	Center for Mental Health- Great Falls & Havre http://boardofvisitors.mt.gov/Portals/38/Documents/C4MH%20final%20rep	Dan Laughlin, Amy Tipton -Board			
2013	ort.pdf?ver=2016-02-16-094749-097	Members Irene Walters -			
		Consultant			
		Janette Reget, Lisa			
		Swanson, Craig Fitch -BOV Staff			
January 2016	Providence Health and Services- Missoula	Jim Hajny, Amy Tipton, Tracy Perez -			
2016	http://boardofvisitors.mt.gov/Portals/38/Documents/St.%20Patrick's%20BH U%20final%20report.pdf?ver=2016-04-06-144912-120	Board Members			
		Susan Bodurtha - Consultant			
		Janette Reget,			
		LuWaana Johnson -BOV Staff			
March	SCMHC satellite offices- Big Timber, Hardin, Lewistown, Red Lodge	Brodie Moll, Jim			
2016	http://boardofvisitors.mt.gov/Portals/38/Documents/South%20Central%20	Hajny -Board Members			
	Montana%20Mental%20Health%20Centerr%20Satellite%20Offices%20Final %20Report.pdf?ver=2016-07-11-123915-380	Janette Reget, Craig			
		Fitch -BOV Staff			
May 2016	Western Montana Mental Health Center- Kalispell http://boardofvisitors.mt.gov/Portals/38/Documents/Western%20Montana	Brodie Moll -Board Member			
2010	%20Mental%20Health%20Center,%20Kalispell,%20MT.pdf?ver=2016-07-13-	Michelle Blair -			
	<u>141650-027</u>	Consultant			
		Janette Reget, Craig Fitch, Lisa Swanson			
		-BOV Staff			
	C4. I T T C. l J. l. J. C EV 2017				
	Site Inspections Tentatively Scheduled for FY 2017	D 1 111 =			
Nov. 2016	Partnership for Children Missoula (Informal site visit- Completed)	Dan Laughlin, Tracy Perez -Board			
		Members			
		Dan Ladd Craig Fitch -BOV Staff			
Dec. 2016	Western Montana MHC- Butte—(Completed)	Dan Laughlin- Board Member			
2010		Irene Walters- consult			
		Craig Fitch, LuWaana Johnson, Daniel Ladd			
		-BOV staff			

Jan.	Acadia PRTF- Butte (Informal site visit)	Dan Laughlin -Board
2017	January 25, 2017	member.
		Dan Ladd, LuWaana
		Johnson -BOV staff.
Feb.	Montana Community Partners- Billings	
2017	February 23 & 24	
March	Center for Mental Health- Helena	
2017		
April	MDC Site Inspection – Boulder	
2017	(to include an inspection of the facility and treatment services)	
May	New Day Mental Health Center- Billings	
2017		

Types of Inspections:

The Board may conduct site inspections at any time, but inspections are primarily:

- (1) routine, scheduled inspections, or
- (2) special inspections prompted by specific issues that come to the Board's attention.

Other Functions and Duties of the Board

- (1) review and approve all plans for experimental research or hazardous treatment procedures involving people admitted to Montana Development Center or any mental health facility
- (2) annually complete an inspection of the Montana Developmental Center
- (3) review, and if necessary, conduct investigations of allegations of abuse or neglect of people admitted to Montana Development Center or any mental health facility
- (4) review and ensure the existence and implementation of treatment plans
- (5) inquire concerning all use of restraints, isolation, or other behavioral controls
- (6) assist persons admitted to Montana Development Center or any mental health facility to resolve grievances, and
- (7) report to the director of the Department of Public Health and Human Services if the Montana Development Center or any mental health facility is failing to comply with the provisions of state law.

BOV Helena office / Advocate's Annual Report 2016 FY

The Advocate for the Board of Visitors (BOV) and Helena office staff, assisted approximately 525 constituents, their families, and members of the public via phone calls, emails and/or face-to-face meetings during the past fiscal year. Reasons for contacting the BOV are numerous and varied, which include, but not limited to, people requesting assistance, submitting grievances, arranging home visits for loved ones committed to state institutions, discussing a variety of options for loved ones, and concerns about getting their loved ones into a community-based setting, discussing commitment issues with a facility such as the Montana Developmental Center (MDC) in Boulder, the Montana State Hospital (MSH") in Warm Springs, or the Montana Mental Health Nursing Care Center ("MMHNCC) in Lewistown, Montana.

Montana Developmental Center (MDC)

With the passing of SB 411, the census at the Montana Developmental Center is currently 24 residents, down from a high of 54. SB 411 stipulated that when a resident is discharged from MDC into the community, their funding goes with them, and no new admits are allowed. This situation has left MDC in a difficult position financially. However, residents remaining at MDC are receiving quality care and doing well with most all having improved behaviors. Of the 25 remaining residents, 6 reside in Unit 1, 7 reside in Unit 5 and 12 residents remain in the Assessment and Stabilization Unit (ASU) the "locked-down" unit. Units 2,3,4, and 6 have closed and windows are boarded up.

The BOV participated in approximately 87 Individual Treatment Plan (ITP) meetings, 1 Forensic Review Board meeting, and 3 parole hearings during the past year. BOV Advocate assists clients with grievances, attends ITP and other meetings to advocate on their behalf, assists clients in communicating effectively with MDC staff, community providers or stakeholders. Ensuring clients have behavioral support plans that include effective interventions when they are agitated or feeling overwhelmed. Advocates when necessary on clients' behalf in other areas, provides independent oversight and review; and ensures clients receive humane and decent treatment.

The BOV conducted a Site Inspection of MDC on June 29, 2016. (*See report, MENTAL DISABILITIES BOARD OF VISITORS ANNUAL INSPECTION OF THE MONTANA DEVELOPMENTAL CENTER, June 29, 2016.*) The site inspection covered Units 1,3 and 5 (Unit 3 was still open at the time), the treatment mall, ASU, recreation and vocational buildings, and reviewed treatment plans and medical records.

MDC Allegations of Abuse and/or Neglect from June 30, 2015-July 1, 2016:

ICF-IID Staff to Client Allegations:

Substantiated Staff-Client: 7 Unsubstantiated Staff-Client: 34 Information Only Staff-Client: 4 Investigations in progress: 0 Total ICF-IID Staff-Client: 45

ICF-IID Client-Client Allegations:

Substantiated Client-Client: 10
Unsubstantiated Client-Client: 33
Information Only Staff-Client: 404
Investigations in process: 0
TOTAL IID Client-Client: 447

ICF-DD Staff-Client Allegations:

Substantiated Staff-Client: 13 Unsubstantiated Staff-Client: 15 Information Only Staff-Client: 1 Investigation in progress: 0 TOTAL DD Staff-Client: 29

ICF-DD Client-Client Allegations:

Substantiated Client-Client: 4
Unsubstantiated Client-Client: 29
Information only Client-Client: 370
Investigations in progress: 0
TOTAL DD Client-Client: 403

Groups Homes Utilized for MDC Clients:

- -AWARE, Butte, MT
- -AWARE, Great Falls
- -MT/QLC, Great Falls
- -MMHNCC, Lewistown
- -Benchmark, Helena,
- -Benchmark, Clancy (?)
- -Benchmark, Indiana
- -Flathead Industries, Kalispell

Clients Discharged in last year to other State Institutions:
-1 Client discharged to MMHNCC, Lewistown
-0 Clients to MSH
-1 Client discharged to MT State Prison, Deer Lodge
-1 Client discharged out of state
Restraints used in past fiscal year:
45 Clients placed in physical restraints
25 Clients placed in mechanical restraints
(The above Restraints are rated High or Medium and Restraints Related to Behavior, Restraint-other,
Restraint-other/PRN, Restraint-other/Injury. No Physician Orders required for mechanical restraints)

BOV / MONTANA STATE HOSPITAL STATISTICS FY 2016

Under 53-21-104(6) MCA, the Board of Visitors (BOV) shall employ and is responsible for full-time legal counsel at the state hospital, whose responsibility is to act on behalf of all patients at the state hospital. The Board's attorney represents patients at MSH during recommitment, guardianship, and transfer to MMHNCC hearings and during administrative hearings (Involuntary Medication Review Board and Forensic Review Board). BOV staff also talk to patients and attend the grievance committee meetings when a grievance is filed. During the fiscal year, MSH admitted nearly 700 individuals for treatment and coordinated discharge from the facility for nearly as many patients. Average daily census at the MSH campus for the past fiscal year was approximately 200. The Forensic Unit at Galen houses another approximately 50 patients on average. Most of these individuals are at Galen for forensic evaluations and so they retain the services of their community defense attorney through the course of the evaluation process. BOV still reviews grievance, and complaints of abuse and/or neglect from within this facility, and regularly schedules reviews of the treatment plans and other documentation for these individuals. BOV meets regularly with the Administrator of the hospital to present concerns and discuss issues related to advocacy of the patients served at the facility.

Fiscal Year (July 1 – June 30)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Admissions to MSH	691	691	625	604	735	715	739	723
Discharges from MSH	658	657	606	594	705	775	738	738
LEGAL REPRESENTATION								
Petitions for recommitment	242	219	161	167	162	179	194	186
(total) Court hearings	30	24	27	25	23	39	60	
Recommitment	20	20	24	23	21	33	53	
Transfer to MMHNCC	1	0	1	1	0	1	4	
	2	3	1	1	2	5	3	
Guardianship CI-90	7	2		1		3	3	
Involuntary Medication Review	302	220	1 170	186				
Board (IMRB)	302	220	170	160	214	200	132	80
Initial	169	106	75	84	99	88	59	
14-Day Review	96	85	71	72	79	85	54	
90-Day Review	37	29	24	30	36	27	19	
Forensic Review Board Hearings	20	23	16	15	21	24	27	35
GRIEVANCES								
Grievances (total number)	1213	1005	981	749	380*	591	390	519
Solved by program manager	839	702	689	380	268	280	265	276
Addressed by Committee	374	303	292	369	73	311	125	243
2 patient grievances not included in total					336			
Abuse/Neglect investigations	30	31	23	32	33	13	26	43
Treatment Plan Reviews	272	395	415	370	424	358	327	295
Seclusion/Restraint reports (total)	1199	879**	615**	842	740	843	482	379
Seclusion	645	427	307	536	376	450	195	201
Restraint	554	452	308	306	364	393	287	176
Hours of seclusion *Pre Intensive treatment unit	6513	2762	2666	29,929*	814	1867	1431	
Hours of restraint **one patient in walking restraints *EV 2016 (July 1.30, 2015 June 30)	500	721	245	574	3518*	756	700	

^{*}FY 2016 (July 1 30, 2015-June 30, 2016)

OBSERVATIONS

The community providers and state facilities offer an array of services to our citizens who have mental illness and intellectual/developmental disabilities. An examination of those service systems reveals areas where the services compete with each other, areas where the services are inadequate, and areas where we have made vast improvements in services. Like most of the rest of the country, Montana is recognizing that mental illness, chemical dependency, and intellectual/developmental disabilities do not occur discretely, are not mutually exclusive, and treatment to address this complexity of need must be co-occurring.

Children who are identified at an early age as having behavioral health issues, are at risk of developing lifelong disabilities. Trauma Informed Care research has revealed that adverse childhood experiences often increase long-term service needs and costs. The complicating factors for addressing treatment of this select group of individuals exists and is further confounded when, as they age, these young men and women are at high risk. These same studies have also revealed that this group often is at risk of developing a co-occurring chemical dependency issue, medical issues, housing struggles, and/or involvement with the corrections system. These evolving treatment needs are capturing the attention of programs that provide treatment and to policy makers at the Department of Public Health and Human Services (DPHHS), the Department of Corrections (DOC) and the Montana Legislature.

Services across Montana that address the treatment needs of these individuals are often times fragmented and not well integrated. Leadership staff at DPHHS often look to the service providers and urges providers to better integrate community based services. Yet the organizational structure which designs and funds these services at the state level is often fragmented itself.

DPHHS has two divisions responsible to serve these individuals (AMDD & DSD) while other individuals are under the jurisdiction of DOC; both agencies are responsible to address mental illness, intellectual/developmental disability, chemical dependency and criminal behavior. Legislation in recent years has provided some relief to the system by reimbursing for specialized services (crisis intervention teams, crisis houses and 189 transition monies); but again this is a scattered, shotgun approach to funding services.

Community-based service policy has increasingly drifted toward Fee-for-Service programs over the past 10 years. This is an outdated model which has little or no research demonstrating its efficacy. This often leads to community programs that cannot offer the basic service flexibility to address the needs of individuals who have complex treatment requirements. Service providers periodically report that they, "cannot meet the needs" of some individuals who have been served state facilities – the most restrictive treatment environment we have. When this happens, the individual can often remain at the high cost, inappropriate setting for far too long. DPHHS does not have a method to incentivize providers that deliver excellent, innovative services to transition these clients out of state facilities.

Across the state, community-based services do not have sufficient transition options for all individuals leaving state facilities (MSP, MSH, MDC, MMHNCC) to effectively transition into community-based services. The bottleneck effect of individuals who cannot leave a state facility when a community provider cannot provide services is felt across the system. Currently state-run facilities and out-of-state residential treatment for youth, are full and expanding (i.e., Galen campus).

Department study groups, task force teams, advisory councils, and legislative committees have met, discussed these issues, made recommendations, creating a patchwork of remedies that do not fully address the systemic improvements that are currently needed. Solutions to the identified gaps in service may prove difficult because barriers are inherent in the system and lack of funding is not completely to blame. Without a long-range plan for system improvement that starts with strategic policy planning to identify and address change, the system will continue to evolve piecemeal. The cost of this system will continue to increase more rapidly than Consumer Price Index (CPI) and outcomes will continue to be poor across the spectrum.

What Montana is missing, is a funding system that does not rely on fee-for-service, but a movement toward an "Accountable Care Organization" model (ACO) http://khn.org/news/aco-accountable-care-organization-faq/. This

model would offer incentives to providers for quality care and encourage best practice models to develop in communities across the state. The current the fee-for-service model keeps providers locked into an outdated, ineffective reimbursement model that has proven to be ineffective. Fee-for-service models provide incentives for volume over quality of care, the more patients a provider sees, the more they can bill, quality of service becomes less relevant. Montana mental health and DD providers will offer and provide the type of services that DPHHS reimburses. These services may not be in the best interests of the client but providers cannot afford to do otherwise. Our choice is, do we want to reimburse for Quality or Quantity.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Recognize the need for a thoughtful approach to funding <u>effective</u>, <u>research based</u> services and begin a long-range planning process that will:
 - o Accurately calculate the percentage of individuals who need services and which level of services they need, from intensive services to follow-through.
 - o Survey service providers to determine the costs of serving individuals who have lifelong disabilities with research based services.
 - Inventory existing transitional services, group homes, independent and semi-independent living, Mobile Community Treatment (MCT) teams, adult foster care, and pre-release centers to help determine what infrastructure must be created to facilitate discharges from state facilities.
 - o Maintain an active/evidence based crisis response system to divert individuals from entering the highest levels of care, when only short term stabilization is needed.
 - Utilize an Evidence Based outcome measure for these populations to better determine quality of services provided.
 - Approach funding for services and programs differently, Accountable Care Organizations model (ACO).
- Create RFP for pre-release centers with programs to serve populations with co-occurring needs, and are on parole/probation from MSH, MDC, MWP, or MSP. These programs must be dovetailed with long-term housing options.
- Invite the "National Trauma Informed Care Center" to provide ongoing TIC training at MSH (at no cost to the state) on a regular basis. This will reduce the use of seclusion and restraints and ensure that all new staff are trauma informed.
- Montana has a rapidly growing population of seniors that are experiencing increased neurocognitive disorders. Montana currently does not have the providers, infrastructure or experience staff currently available to serve this growing population. BOV suggests encouraging providers to build competencies and infrastructure through RFP funded supports. This policy is much more cost effective and clinically effective than sending these Montanans to MSH or MMHNCC in Lewistown.
- BOV suggests that MDC remain open with a limited number of available beds. Allowing for the development of appropriate infrastructure so that the remaining clients can be served safely and humanly in the community.