

ANNUAL REPORT

FY2018

A Report to the Governor Regarding the Status of
Mental Health Facilities and Treatment Programs
Inspected by the Board from July 2017 through June
2018.

Mental Disabilities Board
of Visitors

Contents

MENTAL DISABILITIES BOARD OF VISITORS	2
BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF	2
SITE INSPECTIONS July 2017 through June 2018	3
BOV / MONTANA STATE HOSPITAL STATISTICS.....	4
OBSERVATIONS	5
RECOMMENDATIONS	6

MENTAL DISABILITIES BOARD OF VISITORS

BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF

BOARD MEMBERS

Daniel Laughlin	Anaconda, Montana
Jeff Folsom	Helena, Montana
Vacant	Montana
Melissa Ancell	Poplar, Montana
Sicily Morris	Billings, Montana
Amy Tipton	Edgar, Montana

STAFF

Helena Office

P.O. Box 200804	Phone	(406) 444-3955
Helena, MT 59620-0804	FAX	(406) 444-3543
Executive Director, Vacant	Phone:	(406) 444-5278
	E-mail:	
Advocacy Specialist, Vacant	E-Mail:	

Montana State Hospital

P.O. Box 177	Phone	(406) 693-7035
Warm Springs, MT 59756-0177	FAX	(406) 693-7036
Craig Fitch, Attorney	E-mail:	cfitch@mt.gov
LuWaana Johnson, Legal Secretary/Advocate	E-mail:	lujohnson@mt.gov

SITE INSPECTIONS FY 2018

Date of Inspection	Facility	Team Members
March 2018	Montana Developmental Center, Boulder http://boardofvisitors.mt.gov/Portals/38/Documents/Site%20Inspection%20-%20Montana%20Developmental%20Center%20Boulder%20March%202018.pdf?ver=2019-01-09-124414-717	
June 2018	Pathways Treatment Center, Kalispell http://boardofvisitors.mt.gov/Portals/38/Documents/Site%20Inspection%20-%20Pathways%20Kalispell%20June%202018.pdf?ver=2019-01-09-124435-670	Daniel Laughlin, Board Member Sue Bodurtha, Consultant Craig Fitch, Staff Attorney LuWaana Johnson, Staff
Site Inspections Tentatively Scheduled for FY 2019		
November 2018	Montana Mental Health Nursing Care Center, Lewistown	
January 2019	Yellowstone Boys and Girls Ranch, Billings	
March 2019	Acadia, Butte	
April 2019	Montana Developmental Center, Boulder	
June 2019	Glendive Hospital Behavioral Unit, Glendive	

Types of Inspections:

The Board may conduct site inspections at any time, but inspections are primarily:

- routine, scheduled inspections, or
- special inspections prompted by specific issues that come to the Board's attention.

Other Functions and Duties of the Board

- review and approve all plans for experimental research or hazardous treatment procedures involving people admitted to Montana Development Center or any mental health facility
- annually complete an inspection of the Montana Developmental Center
- review and, if necessary, conduct investigations of allegations of abuse or neglect of people admitted to Montana Development Center or any mental health facility
- review and ensure the existence and implementation of treatment plans
- inquire concerning all use of restraints, isolation, or other behavioral controls
- assist persons admitted to Montana Development Center or any mental health facility to resolve grievances, and report to the director of the Department of Public Health and Human Services if the Montana Development Center or any mental health facility is failing to comply with the provisions of state law.

BOV / MONTANA STATE HOSPITAL OVERVIEW AND STATISTICS FY 2018

Under 53-21-104(6) MCA, the Board of Visitors (BOV) shall employ and is responsible for full-time legal counsel at the state hospital whose responsibility is to act on behalf of all patients at the state hospital. The Board's attorney represents patients at Montana State Hospital (MSH) during recommitment, guardianship, and transfer to Montana Mental Health Nursing Care Center hearings, and during administrative hearings (Involuntary Medication Review Board and Forensic Review Board). BOV staff also talk to patients and attend the grievance committee meetings when a grievance is filed. During the fiscal year, MSH admitted nearly 700 individuals for treatment and coordinated discharge from the facility for nearly as many patients. Average daily census at the MSH campus for the past fiscal year was approximately 220. The Forensic Unit at Galen houses another approximately 50 patients on average. Most of these individuals are at Galen for forensic evaluations and so they retain the services of their community defense attorney through the course of the evaluation process. BOV still reviews grievances and complaints of abuse and/or neglect from within this facility, and regularly schedules reviews of the treatment plans and other documentation for these individuals. BOV meets regularly with the administrator of MSH to present concerns and discuss issues related to advocacy of the patients served at the facility.

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
ADMISSIONS TO MSH	798	790	691	691
DISCHARGES FROM MSH	783	818	658	657
LEGAL REPRESENTATION				
Petitions for recommitment (<i>total number</i>)	154	219	242	219
AC	14	23	30	24
Recommitment	10	11	20	20
Transfer to MMHNCC	1	1	1	0
Guardianship	2	5	2	3
CI-90	1	6	7	2
Involuntary Medication Review Board (IMRB)				
Initial	82	72	169	106
14-Day Review	65	62	96	85
90-Day Review	21	27	37	29
Forensic Review Board (FRB)	49	23	20	23
ADVOCACY				
Grievances (<i>total number</i>)	1006	959	1213	1005
Resolved by program manager	800	633	839	702
Addressed by Committee	206	326	374	303
Abuse/Neglect investigations	44	41	30	31
Treatment Plan Reviews conducted by BOV	352	363	272	395

OBSERVATIONS

The community providers and state facilities offer an array of services to our citizens who have mental illness and intellectual/developmental disabilities. An examination of those service systems reveals areas where the services compete with each other, areas where the services are inadequate, and areas where we have made vast improvements in services. Like most of the rest of the country, Montana is recognizing that mental illness, chemical dependency, and intellectual/developmental disabilities do not occur discretely, are not mutually exclusive, and treatment to address this complexity of need must be co-occurring.

Children who are identified at an early age as having behavioral health issues are at risk of developing lifelong disabilities. Trauma Informed Care research has revealed that adverse childhood experiences often increase long-term service needs and costs. The complicating factors for addressing treatment of this select group of individuals exists and is further confounded when, as they age, these young men and women are at high risk. These same studies have also revealed that this group often is at risk of developing a co-occurring chemical dependency issue, medical issues, housing struggles, and/or involvement with the corrections system. These evolving treatment needs are capturing the attention of programs that provide treatment and to policy makers at the Department of Public Health and Human Services (DPHHS), the Department of Corrections (DOC), and the Montana Legislature.

Services across Montana that address the treatment needs of these individuals are often times fragmented and not well integrated. Leadership staff at DPHHS often look to the service providers and urges providers to better integrate community-based services. Yet the organizational structure which designs and funds these services at the state level is often fragmented itself.

DPHHS has two divisions responsible to serve these individuals, Addictive and Mental Disorders (AMDD) and Developmental Services Division (DSD), while other individuals are under the jurisdiction of DOC. Both agencies are responsible to address mental illness, intellectual/developmental disability, chemical dependency, and criminal behavior. Legislation in recent years has provided some relief to the system by reimbursing for specialized services (crisis interventions and 189 transition monies); but again, this is a scattered, shotgun approach to funding services.

Community-based service policy has increasingly drifted toward Fee-for-Service programs over the past ten years. This is an outdated model which has little or no research demonstrating its efficacy. This often leads to community programs that cannot offer the basic service flexibility to address the needs of individuals who have complex treatment requirements. Service providers periodically report that they “cannot meet the needs” of some individuals who have been served in state facilities – the most restrictive treatment environment we have. When this happens, the individual often remains at the high cost, less effective facility for far too long. DPHHS does not have a method to incentivize providers that deliver excellent, innovative services to transition these clients out of state facilities.

Across the state, community-based services do not have enough transition options for all individuals leaving state facilities (MSP, MSH, MDC, MMHNCC) to effectively transition into community-based services. The bottleneck effect of individuals who cannot leave a state facility when a community provider cannot provide services is felt when state-owned facilities are full and expanding (i.e., Galen campus).

Department study groups, task force teams, advisory councils, and legislative committees have met, discussed these issues, made recommendations, and created a patchwork of remedies that do not fully address the systemic improvements that are currently needed. Solutions to the identified gaps in service may prove difficult because barriers are inherent in the system and lack of funding is not completely to blame. Without a long-range plan for system improvement that starts with strategic policy planning to identify and address change, the system will continue to evolve piecemeal. The cost of this system will continue to increase more rapidly than Consumer Price Index (CPI) and outcomes will continue to be poor across the spectrum.

What Montana is missing is a funding system that does not rely on fee-for-service, but movement toward an “Accountable Care Organization” model (ACO). This model would reward providers for quality care and encourage best practice models to develop in communities across the state. The current fee-for-service model

keeps providers locked into an outdated, ineffective reimbursement model that has proven to be ineffective. Fee-for-service models incentivize volume over quality of care, the more patients a provider sees, the more they make, and quality of service becomes less relevant. Montana mental health and developmental disabilities providers will provide the type of services that DPHHS reimburses for, they cannot afford to do otherwise. The choice is, do we want to utilize funding for quality or quantity?

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Recognize the need for a thoughtful approach to funding effective, research-based services and begin a long-range planning process that will:
 - Accurately calculate the percentage of individuals who need services and which level of services they need, from intensive services to follow-along.
 - Survey service providers to determine the costs of serving individuals who have lifelong disabilities with research-based services.
 - Inventory existing transitional services, group homes, independent and semi-independent living, Mobile Community Treatment (MCT) teams, adult foster care, and pre-release centers to help determine what infrastructure must be created to facilitate discharges from state facilities.
 - Maintain an active/evidence-based crisis response system to divert individuals from entering the highest levels of care when what they actually need is short term stabilization.
 - Utilize an evidence-based outcome measure for these populations to better determine quality of services provided.
- Disburse funding to create pre-release centers with programs to serve these populations who need treatment and are on parole/probation from MSH, MDC, MWP, or MSP. These programs must be dovetailed with long-term housing options.
- Approach funding for services and programs differently, Accountable Care Organizations model (ACO).